Modeling and Investigation of Small-Signal Stability of DFIG-based Wind Energy System using Linear Quadratic Integral Controller
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Abstract—This work demonstrates the effectiveness of the linear quadratic integral (LQI) controller over the linear quadratic regulator (LQR) controller for the small-signal stability of the doubly fed induction generator (DFIG)-based wind energy system. To design the proposed controller, the studied system has been described by the state-space linearization methodology. The eigenvalues and time response analysis method are used to examine the small-signal stability as well as the dynamic responses of the system. This study also considers to cancel the steady-state offset values in the powers flow through the DFIG and the generator-angular speed for complete disturbance rejection during the mechanical torque variations which are caused by the change in the wind speed. All the simulation results are performed in the MATLAB/SIMULINK and conclude that the proposed controller is much better than the classical LQR controller in terms of damping, eigenvalues, peak values and steady-state errors in the output variables.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since nowadays renewable power penetration continually increases and among all forms of renewable power, wind power is the most extensively and effectively used worldwide. Therefore, the modeling and stability of DFIG system are the essential concern of wind power generation. The stochastic and a non-linear nature of the wind speed also raise the various challenges in the design and control of the DFIG system. Recently, DFIG has been the most preferred wind turbines because it has a capability of varying speed, delivers 10-15% greater energy capture from the wind, small investment and the flexible control [1], [2]. The stator coil winding of the DFIG generally directly linked to the grid and its rotor winding is fed back through AC-DC-AC converter [1], [3]. In this paper, the high switching dynamics of the converter have been ignored.

In papers [4]–[12], the modeling and the small-signal stability of the DFIG system operates by PI controllers, which provide adequate performance in normal grid circumstances, but it may not be guaranteed especially under large perturbations. PI controllers are very effective in the first order single-input-single-output (SISO) model of the DFIG, but facing complications in dynamic analysis of the multi-input-multi-output (MIMO) system. Therefore, the concepts of non-linear robust controllers such as H-infinity [13], Linear Quadratic Gaussian (LQG) [14] or LQR [15]–[20] are utilized to overcome these problems.

In the modern optimal control scheme, the LQR is the well-known method which is related to the state-space representation and therefore suitable for the MIMO systems. The LQR has a property to regulate optimally the states and its output to zero, but it may face the problems with reference tracking or disturbance rejection, which is the desire in practice. Therefore, an integrator has been added in series with the studied system to track the desired output or reject the disturbance signal. This Linear Quadratic Integral (proposed) controller assures the zero steady-state error as well as enhancing the dynamic performance of the DFIG-based wind energy system [21]–[24].

For the small-signal stability study, the eigenvalue analysis technique has been used, which provides the inherent dynamic features of the studied system. The comparative simulation analysis between (i) LQR and (ii) LQI controller have been carried out in this study.

The structure of this study is systematized as follows; section II exhibits the mathematical modeling and the small-signal stability concept of the DFIG system. The control techniques for the DFIG are elaborated in section III. In section IV, the detailed analysis of simulation results and its discussion are explored and conclude the paper in section V.

II. MODELING AND SMALL-SIGNAL STABILITY STUDY OF THE DFIG SYSTEM

The DFIG-based wind energy system deliberated in this work is represented in Fig. 1.
In this section, the complete mathematical modeling and the small-signal stability concepts have been discussed with the help of DFIG basic equations as follows [7], [12]:

### A. Modeling

\[ \psi_{ds} = L_{sx} i_{ds} + L_{mx} i_{dr} \]  
\[ \psi_{qs} = L_{sx} i_{qs} + L_{m} i_{qr} \]  
\[ \psi_{dr} = L_{m} i_{ds} + L_{mx} i_{dr} \]  
\[ \psi_{qr} = L_{m} i_{qs} + L_{mx} i_{qr} \]  
\[ v_{ds} = -R_{s} i_{ds} - \omega_{r} \psi_{qs} - \frac{1}{\omega_{p}} p(\psi_{ds}) \]  
\[ v_{qs} = -R_{s} i_{qs} + \omega_{r} \psi_{ds} - \frac{1}{\omega_{p}} p(\psi_{qs}) \]  
\[ v_{dr} = -R_{r} i_{dr} - (\omega_{r} - \omega_{s}) \psi_{qr} - \frac{1}{\omega_{p}} p(\psi_{dr}) \]  
\[ v_{qr} = -R_{r} i_{qr} + (\omega_{r} - \omega_{s}) \psi_{dr} - \frac{1}{\omega_{p}} p(\psi_{qr}) \]  
\[ L_{sx} = L_{s} + L_{m} \]  
\[ L_{ry} = L_{r} + L_{m} \]  

Where, \( p = \frac{d}{dt} \); \( \psi_{qs}, \psi_{ds} \) and \( \psi_{qr}, \psi_{dr} \) respectively represent the d-q axes stator and rotor flux linkages; \( i_{qs}, i_{dr} \) and \( i_{qr}, i_{dr} \) respectively represent the d-q axes stator and rotor currents; \( v_{qs}, v_{dr} \) and \( v_{qr}, v_{dr} \) respectively represent the d-q axes stator terminal and rotor voltages; \( L_{sx} = 4.04 \text{pu} \) and \( L_{ry} = 4.0602 \text{pu} \) respectively represent the stator and rotor self-inductance; \( R_{s} = 0.005 \text{pu} \) represents the stator resistance; \( L_{s} = 0.04 \text{pu} \) represents the stator leakage inductance; \( R_{r} = 0.0055 \text{pu} \) represents the rotor resistance; \( L_{r} = 0.0602 \text{pu} \) represents the rotor leakage inductance; \( L_{m} = 4.0 \text{pu} \) represents the mutual inductance; \( \omega_{s} = 1 \text{pu} \) and \( \omega_{r} \) respectively represent the synchronous and generator-angular speed; \( (\omega_{g} = 2\pi f) \) represents the base speed; \( f = 50 \text{Hz} \) represents the nominal frequency.

The swing equation of single-mass model for wind turbine can be expressed as [7]:

\[ \dot{x} = A \Delta x + B \Delta t \]  
\[ \gamma = C \Delta x + D \Delta t \]

Where, \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \) respectively represent the system state, input, output, and feed forward matrix. \( \Delta \) denotes the small deviation and corresponding deviations in the state, input and output vectors are as follows:

\[ \Delta x = \Delta i_{qs}; \Delta i_{dr}; \Delta i_{qr}; \Delta i_{dr}; \Delta \omega_{r} \]
\[ \Delta u = \Delta v_{qr}; \Delta v_{dr}; \Delta v_{qs}; \Delta v_{dr}; \Delta T_{m} \]
\[ \Delta y = [\Delta P_{c}; \Delta Q_{c}; \Delta P_{r}; \Delta Q_{r}; \Delta \omega_{r}] \]

### III. CONTROLLERS FOR DFIG

In this study, all the state and output variables of the system are available for the feedback. Thus, the full state feedback LQR and the proposed LQI controller concept have been utilized to regulate the deviation in the stator and rotor active-
reactive power flow through the DFIG and in the generator-angular speed due to the variations in the mechanical torque or the wind speed to zero.

A. LQR Control Method

The structure of LQR control method is illustrated in Fig. 2. This technique minimizes the performance index \( J \). The linear optimum control law \( \Delta u = -K_{lqr} \Delta x \) has been chosen for performance index in the form of \[15\], \[20\]:

\[
J = \int_0^\infty (\Delta x^T Q \Delta x + \Delta u^T R \Delta u) dt
\]

(18)

Here, \( Q(5 \times 5) \) represents a real positive semi-definite matrix and \( R(5 \times 5) \) represents a positive-definite matrix. \( K_{lqr} = R^{-1}B^T P \) is the optimal state-feedback gain matrix, which is calculated with the help of Riccati equation as \[15\], \[20\]:

\[
A^T P + PA - PBR^{-1}B^T P + Q = 0
\]

(19)

Here, \( P \) represents a positive-definite matrix and values of the LQR weighting matrices are considered as:

\[
Q_{lqr} = \text{eye}(5)
\]

(20)

\[
R_{lqr} = \text{eye}(5)
\]

(21)

When \( (A, B) \) and \( (Q, A) \) respectively should be controllable and observable, then the closed-loop system \( A_{lqr} = A - BK_{lqr} \) will be asymptotically stable.

In this case, the deviations in the output variables are not regulated to zero. Therefore, an integral controller has been added in the feedback path from the output variables (i.e. LQI controller) to reject any disturbances occur in the outputs of the DFIG system.

\[
\Delta x = \Delta x + B(\Delta u_{new} + \Delta w)
\]

(23)

\[
\Delta y = -\Delta y + \Delta y_{ref}
\]

(24)

\[
\Delta y = C\Delta x + D(\Delta u_{new} + \Delta w)
\]

(25)

Therefore, after rearranging equations (22-25), the augmented system matrix can be written as:

\[
A_{aug} = \begin{bmatrix}
A - BK_x & -BK_y \\
\cdots & \\
(C - DK_x) & DK_y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(26)

The revised cost function for LQI controller can be defined as:

\[
J_{new} = \int_0^\infty \left( \Delta x_{new}^T Q_{new} \Delta x_{new} + \Delta w_{new}^T R_{new} \Delta w_{new} \right) dt
\]

(27)

The value of \( K_{lqi} = R_{new}^{-1}B_{aug}^T P_{new} \) is calculated by using the Riccati equation as:

\[
A_{aug}^T P_{new} + P_{new} A_{aug} - P_{new} B_{aug} R_{new}^{-1} B_{aug}^T P_{new} + Q_{new} = 0
\]

(28)

The values of \( Q_{new} \) and \( R_{new} \) are considered as:

\[
Q_{new} = \text{eye}(10)
\]

(29)

\[
R_{new} = \text{eye}(5)
\]

(30)

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this paper, the LQI control technique provides a new approach for stator and rotor active-reactive power as well as the generator-angular speed control of the DFIG system. To examine the effectiveness of the proposed control technique, the eigenvalues and step response analysis have been used. The simulation studies of the studied system have been carried out at 10% (i.e. 0.1 pu) reduction in the mechanical torque for \( t=0 \) to 25 seconds through MATLAB/SIMULINK software in the three different cases:

(a) without controller (b) LQR controller and (c) LQI controller.

The simulation results provide the LQR and LQI controller gain parameters such as \( K_{lqr} (5 \times 5) \) which represent the optimal state feedback gain matrix, \( K_x (5 \times 5) \) represent the proportional gain matrix and \( K_y (5 \times 5) \) is the integral gain matrix as follows:
The system matrices of the linearized model are obtained in all the cases to find out the eigenvalues, damping ratios and the damped frequencies of the studied system, which are listed in Table I. The negative real part of the eigenvalues in Table I confirms the studied system is stable in all the three different cases. In particular, the complex conjugate eigenvalues are present in all the cases which lead to the DFIG system in an oscillatory mode, whereas the real eigenvalues describe a non-oscillatory mode. From Table I, it is also seen that the real and imaginary part of all the eigenvalues in the case of the LQR and LQI controller have more negative and lower values than the case of without controller. Therefore, stability and robustness of the studied system have been significantly improved in both the LQR and LQI control techniques.

### Table 1: Eigenvalues Analysis of the Studied System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without Controller</th>
<th>LQR Controller</th>
<th>LQI Controller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Damped Frequency, Damping Ratio)</td>
<td>(Damped Frequency, Damping Ratio)</td>
<td>(Damped Frequency, Damping Ratio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-16.18 \pm j313.51$ (49.90 Hz, 5.15 %)</td>
<td>$-6261.88 \pm j110.50$ (17.59 Hz, 99.98 %)</td>
<td>$-6261.88 \pm j110.50$ (17.59 Hz, 99.98 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-14.49 \pm j20.07$ (19.11 Hz, 11.96 %)</td>
<td>$-208.23 \pm j109.36$ (17.41 Hz, 88.53 %)</td>
<td>$-208.23 \pm j109.36$ (17.41 Hz, 88.53 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-4.90$ (0 Hz, 100 %)</td>
<td>$-4.17$ (0 Hz, 100 %)</td>
<td>$-4.17$ (0 Hz, 100 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Comparative Analysis of the LQR and LQI controllers

As can be seen in Table I, only one real eigenvalue is present in the LQR controller case, while six real eigenvalues are present in the LQI controller case. This shows that stability of the studied system is improved in the LQI controller case. These two controllers are also compared with the help of dynamic responses of the output powers as well as in the generator-angular speed of the studied system. The different step responses are shown in Figs 4-8, in which the blue dotted and green solid lines represent the responses for the LQR and LQI controller, respectively.
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V. CONCLUSIONS

To design the proposed controller, first, the studied system has been described by the state-space linearization methodology and then small-signal stability analysis of the study system has been done using LQR and LQI controller on the basis of eigenvalues and the dynamic responses obtained.

From the results, it can be observed that the small-signal stability and the robustness of the studied system are greatly enhanced in the LQI controller case. Therefore, LQI controller is more suitable to utilize to control the DFIG angular speed and power flows through the DFIG.

Fig.8: Step response of Δω at 10% decrease in mechanical torque
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